

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,981	07/10/2003	Steve A. Harper	035506.00001 SHARPER	4257
7:	590 10/01/2004		EXAMI	NER
Henry S. Jaudon			LEE, GUIYOUNG	
McNair Law Fi	rm. P.A.			
P.O. Box 10827			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29601			2875	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,981	HARPER, STEVE A				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Guiyoung Lee	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, meply within the statutory minimum d will apply and will expire SIX (6) ate, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complex and a comple	ccepted or b) objecte ne drawing(s) be held in ab action is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0703 and 0803.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vetorino et al. (US 6,220,719 B1).

Re claim 1, 13 and 15-16: Vetorino discloses a flashlight illuminator for providing a concentrated light beam having an elongate body (14 in Fig. 3) including a power source (12); an on/off switch (28); an LED (23); a light collecting and magnifying barrel (44) having a reflector and a lens (36), wherein light rays emitted from said LED are collected and reflected by said reflector on to said lens, said lens magnifying and directing said collected light rays along an elongated axial path in a condensed concentrated beam pattern. Vetorino does not disclose the condensed concentrated beam pattern has a diameter of between 2 inches and 120 inches at a distance of 150 feet. However, Vetorino teaches that the lens can be moved away from LED 23 or moved to LED. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make Vetorino's condensed concentrated beam pattern in a diameter of between 2 inches and 120 inches at a distance of 150 feet because of Vetorino's teachings above. Re claims 2-3 and 18: Vetorino's lens is a plano-convex lens having an inch and a half diameter (col. 42+), and the convex side surface is directed outwardly.

Re claims 4-6 and 19-20: Vetorino discloses the length of the cavity or the focal length is about 1 inch (col. 42+), and the cavity length could be fixed or adjustable.

Re claim 8: Vetorino discloses an inner raised ring (the portion around LED 23 in Fig. 3).

Re claims 9-10: Vetorino discloses that the barrel is stepped along its length (see the stepped portion near 38 in Fig. 1).

Re claims 11-12: Vetorino discloses that the diameter of the barrel is greater at the second end (see 44 in Fig. 1), and the first end of the barrel is pressure fit into engagement with the body.

Re claim 14: Vetorino's body (Fig. 3) is a penlight.

Re claim 17: Vetorino discloses that the first and the second portions of the barrel are axially adjustable by a tread (col. 3, lines 42+).

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vetorino as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klug (US 5,349,509).

Re claim 7: Vetorino is silent with regard to the resilient sleeve. Klug teaches a resilient sleeve (24 in Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Klug's sleeve into Vetorino's barrel in order to redirect the light beam from LED to the lens.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,981

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

ALAN CARIASO PRIMARY EXAMINER